

NORTH CAROLINA
WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE
OF THE
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
14G0244

IN THE MATTER OF)

Renorda E. Pryor,)
Attorney At Law)

REPRIMAND)

On July 16, 2015 the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar met and considered the grievance filed against you by E. S. The grievance was assigned to a Subcommittee, which thoroughly reviewed the results of the State Bar staff's investigation of this matter.

Pursuant to Section .0113(a) of the Discipline and Disability Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, the Grievance Subcommittee conducted a preliminary hearing. After considering the information available to it, including your response to the letter of notice, the Grievance Subcommittee found probable cause. Probable cause is defined in the rules as "reasonable cause to believe that a member of the North Carolina State Bar is guilty of misconduct justifying disciplinary action."

The rules provide that after a finding of probable cause, the Grievance Committee may determine that the filing of a complaint and a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission are not required, and the Grievance Committee may issue various levels of discipline depending upon the misconduct, the actual or potential injury caused, and any aggravating or mitigating factors. The Grievance Committee may issue an admonition, a reprimand, or a censure to the respondent attorney.

A reprimand is a written form of discipline more serious than an admonition issued in cases in which an attorney has violated one or more provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct and has caused harm or potential harm to a client, the administration of justice, the profession, or a member of the public, but the misconduct does not require a censure.

The Grievance Committee was of the opinion that a censure is not required in this case and issues this reprimand to you. As chairman of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, it is now my duty to issue this reprimand.


You represented D.D. and P.D. while you were employed at the EMS law firm. You failed to timely file a Rule 59 motion on their behalf. At the time of this failure, you were preparing to leave your employment with the EMS law firm, which you did in the fall of 2013.

You recklessly focused your attention on your departure from EMS rather than on your representation of EMS clients. This was to the detriment of D.D. and P.D. and your other EMS clients. Your conduct violated Rule 1.3. Before your departure from EMS, you represented J.B. in a criminal matter. In December 2013, after your departure from EMS, you continued to negotiate with the prosecutor on behalf of J.B. On 18 December 2013, before being permitted by the court to withdraw from your representation of J.B., you failed to appear in court on J.B.'s behalf. Your conduct violated Rules 1.3 and 1.16(d). Also, you failed to fulfill your obligation to see to it that notice of your departure from EMS was provided to the clients you represented while employed at EMS. Your conduct violated Rule 1.4(a)(3) and (b).

You are hereby reprimanded by the North Carolina State Bar for your professional misconduct. The Grievance Committee trusts that you will heed this reprimand, that it will be remembered by you, that it will be beneficial to you, and that you will never again allow yourself to depart from adherence to the high ethical standards of the legal profession.

In accordance with the policy adopted July 23, 2010 by the Council of the North Carolina State Bar regarding the taxing of administrative fees and investigative costs to any attorney issued a reprimand by the Grievance Committee, an administrative fee in the amount of \$350.00 is hereby taxed to you.

Done and ordered, this the 7th day of August, 2015.



John M. Silverstein, Chair
Grievance Committee

JMS/lb