

NORTH CAROLINA
WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE
OF THE
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
07G0272 & 07G0273

IN THE MATTER OF	)	
	)	
Jack W. Stewart, Jr.	)	REPRIMAND
Attorney At Law	)	
	)	

On January 24, 2008 the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar met and considered the grievances filed against you by M. G. and K. G.

Pursuant to Section .0113(a) of the Discipline and Disability Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, the Grievance Committee conducted a preliminary hearing. After considering the information available to it, including your response to the letter of notice, the Grievance Committee found probable cause. Probable cause is defined in the rules as "reasonable cause to believe that a member of the North Carolina State Bar is guilty of misconduct justifying disciplinary action."

The rules provide that after a finding of probable cause, the Grievance Committee may determine that the filing of a complaint and a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission are not required, and the Grievance Committee may issue various levels of discipline depending upon the misconduct, the actual or potential injury caused, and any aggravating or mitigating factors. The Grievance Committee may issue an admonition, a reprimand, or a censure to the respondent attorney.

A reprimand is a written form of discipline more serious than an admonition issued in cases in which an attorney has violated one or more provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct and has caused harm or potential harm to a client, the administration of justice, the profession, or a member of the public, but the misconduct does not require a censure.

The Grievance Committee was of the opinion that a censure is not required in this case and issues this reprimand to you. As chairman of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, it is now my duty to issue this reprimand.

In May 2006 you were engaged to represent K.G. on DWI charges in Buncombe County District Court. In August 2006 you made a written request to the court to continue K.G.'s case, however, you did not make efforts to determine whether your motion was granted. The motion to continue was not granted, and an order to show cause was issued against your client. As a result, K.G. received a notice from the Department of Motor Vehicles that his license was being


revoked for failing to appear in court. This conduct violated Rule 1.3 of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

K.G. and another client, M.G., filed petitions for disputed fee with the State Bar's Fee Dispute Resolution Program. You were notified of the petitions by the State Bar, but you failed to participate in the program in both instances in violation of Rule 1.5(f).

You are hereby reprimanded by the North Carolina State Bar for your professional misconduct. The Grievance Committee trusts that you will heed this reprimand, that it will be remembered by you, that it will be beneficial to you, and that you will never again allow yourself to depart from adherence to the high ethical standards of the legal profession.

In accordance with the policy adopted October 15, 1981 by the Council of the North Carolina State Bar regarding the taxing of the administrative and investigative costs to any attorney issued a reprimand by the Grievance Committee, the costs of this action in the amount of \$100.00 are hereby taxed to you.

Done and ordered, this the 20th day of February, 2008



James R. Fox, Chair
Grievance Committee

JRF/lr