

NORTH CAROLINA

WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE
OF THE
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
16G0579

IN THE MATTER OF)	
)	REPRIMAND
JOHN D. MANSFIELD,)	
ATTORNEY AT LAW)	

On October 26, 2017 the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar met and considered the grievance filed against you by J. M. The grievance was assigned to a Subcommittee, which thoroughly reviewed the results of the State Bar staff’s investigation of this matter.

Pursuant to Section .0113(a) of the Discipline and Disability Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, the Grievance Subcommittee conducted a preliminary hearing. After considering the information available to it, including your response to the letter of notice, the Grievance Subcommittee found probable cause. Probable cause is defined in the rules as “reasonable cause to believe that a member of the North Carolina State Bar is guilty of misconduct justifying disciplinary action.”

The rules provide that after a finding of probable cause, the Grievance Committee may determine that the filing of a complaint and a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission are not required, and the Grievance Committee may issue various levels of discipline depending upon the misconduct, the actual or potential injury caused, and any aggravating or mitigating factors. The Grievance Committee may issue an admonition, a reprimand, or a censure to the respondent attorney.

A reprimand is a written form of discipline more serious than an admonition issued in cases in which an attorney has violated one or more provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct and has caused harm or potential harm to a client, the administration of justice, the profession, or a member of the public, but the misconduct does not require a censure.

The Grievance Committee was of the opinion that a censure is not required in this case and issues this reprimand to you. As chairman of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, it is now my duty to issue this reprimand.


In 2009, J.M. retained you for representation in an immigration matter. J.M. provided your office with three money orders as payment of fees related to the representation. J.M. subsequently retained the services of another attorney. You did not return J.M.’s money orders to J.M. Instead, you placed the money orders in your client file, where they remained for the approximately five years. In 2014, your assistant found the money orders and altered the payee

and/or the remitter on each money order to use the funds for the benefit of someone other than the beneficial owner of the funds (J.M.). Your assistant admitted to altering these money orders independently and without your knowledge; and, the Grievance Committee determined that the evidence did not prove that you participated in or otherwise knew of your assistant's misappropriation of these funds. Nevertheless, your failure to track and properly safeguard J.M.'s entrusted funds violated Rules 1.15-2(a) & 1.15-2(d). Additionally, your failure to supervise your nonlawyer assistant – which enabled your assistant to misappropriate J.M.'s entrusted funds – violated Rule 5.3(b).

You are hereby reprimanded by the North Carolina State Bar for your professional misconduct. The Grievance Committee trusts that you will heed this reprimand, that it will be remembered by you, that it will be beneficial to you, and that you will never again allow yourself to depart from adherence to the high ethical standards of the legal profession.

In accordance with the policy adopted July 23, 2010 by the Council of the North Carolina State Bar regarding the taxing of administrative fees and investigative costs to any attorney issued a reprimand by the Grievance Committee, an administrative fee in the amount of \$350.00 is hereby taxed to you.

Done and ordered, this the 20th day of November, 2017.


DeWitt "Mac" McCarley, Chair
Grievance Committee

DM/lb