

19609

NORTH CAROLINA  
WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE  
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE  
OF THE  
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR  
98G1104

---

IN THE MATTER OF	)	
	)	
ANDREW N. PATTERSON	)	REPRIMAND
ATTORNEY AT LAW	)	
	)	

---

On January 13, 1999, the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar met and considered the grievances filed against you by Phillip K. Sazama.

Pursuant to Section .0113(a) of the Discipline and Disability Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, the Grievance Committee conducted a preliminary hearing. After considering the information available to it, including your response to the letter of notice, the Grievance Committee found probable cause. Probable cause is defined in the rules as "reasonable cause to believe that a member of the North Carolina State Bar is guilty of misconduct justifying disciplinary action."

The rules provide that after a finding of probable cause, the Grievance Committee may determine that the filing of a complaint and a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission are not required, and the Grievance Committee may issue various levels of discipline depending upon the misconduct, the actual or potential injury caused, and any aggravating or mitigating factors. The Grievance Committee may issue an admonition, a reprimand, or a censure to the respondent attorney.

A reprimand is a written form of discipline more serious than an admonition issued in cases in which an attorney has violated one or more provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct and has caused harm or potential harm to a client, the administration of justice, the profession, or a member of the public, but the misconduct does not require a censure.

The Grievance Committee was of the opinion that a censure is not required in this case and issues this reprimand to you. As chairman of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, it is now my duty to issue this reprimand, and I am certain that you will understand fully the spirit in which this duty is performed.

Mr. Sazama hired you in 1996 to handle a bankruptcy case. He paid you \$800 as an attorney's fee.

You stopped practicing law and left town without notifying Mr. Sazama. Mr. Sazama had great difficulty contacting you to find out about the status of his case.


You admitted that you closed your law practice and moved to Missouri without notifying Mr. Sazama. You also admitted that you did not file a bankruptcy petition on Mr. Sazama's behalf due to various administrative problems in your office.

The Grievance Committee found that your conduct in this matter violated Rule 6(b)(1)(2)(3). In addition, your failure to earn and promptly refund the attorney's fee to Mr. Sazama violated Rule 2.8(a)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct. The Grievance Committee is aware that you have now refunded \$800 to Mr. Sazama.

You are hereby reprimanded by the North Carolina State Bar for your professional misconduct. The Grievance Committee trusts that you will heed this reprimand, that it will be remembered by you, that it will be beneficial to you, and that you will never again allow yourself to depart from adherence to the high ethical standards of the legal profession.

In accordance with the policy adopted October 15, 1981 by the Council of the North Carolina State Bar regarding the taxing of the administrative and investigative costs to any attorney issued a reprimand by the Grievance Committee, the costs of this action in the amount of \$50.00 are hereby taxed to you.

Done and ordered, this the 8 day of February, 1999.

  
James K. Dorsett III, Chair  
Grievance Committee

JKD:rs