

NORTH CAROLINA  
WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY HEARING  
HEARING COMMISSION OF THE  
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR  
89 DHC 10

THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR,  
Plaintiff

vs.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

P. WAYNE ROBBINS,  
Defendant

\*\*\*\*\*

THIS CAUSE was heard by a hearing committee of the Disciplinary Hearing Commission consisting of Karen Paden Boyle, Chairman, W. Harold Mitchell, and Donald L. Osborne on November 17, 1989. The plaintiff was represented by Fern Gunn and the defendant was represented by Ronald C. Dilthey. Based upon the evidence, the prehearing stipulations and the pleadings, the committee makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT:

1. The plaintiff, the North Carolina State Bar, is a body duly organized under the laws of North Carolina and is the proper party to bring this proceeding under the authority granted it in Chapter 84 of the General Statutes of North Carolina, and the Rules and Regulations of the North Carolina State Bar promulgated thereunder.
2. The defendant, P. Wayne Robbins, was admitted to the North Carolina State Bar on September 21, 1966, and is and was at all times referred to herein an attorney at law licensed to practice in North Carolina, subject to the rules, regulations, Code of Professional Responsibility and Rules of Professional Conduct of the North Carolina State Bar and the laws of the State of North Carolina. During all of the periods referred to herein P. Wayne Robbins was actively engaged in the practice of law in

the State of North Carolina and maintained a law office in either Southern Pines, Carthage, or Pinehurst, North Carolina.

3. The plaintiff, North Carolina State Bar has failed to prove the allegations in its Complaint by clear, cogent and convincing evidence.

BASED UPON THE FOREGOING FINDINGS OF FACT the committee makes the following conclusions of law:

1. The defendant has not violated any provisions of the Code of Professional Responsibility or Rules of Professional Conduct respecting Frances B. Bryant.

2. The Complaint in this matter should be dismissed and the costs taxed against the plaintiff, the North Carolina State Bar.

This the 17th day of November, 1989, nunc pro tunc.



KAREN PADEN BOYLE

Chairman for the Committee

NORTH CAROLINA  
WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY  
HEARING COMMISSION OF THE  
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR  
89 DHC 10

THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR,  
Plaintiff

vs.

ORDER

P. WAYNE ROBBINS,  
Attorney/Defendant

\*\*\*\*\*

THIS CAUSE was heard by a hearing committee of the  
Disciplinary Hearing Commission consisting of Karen Paden Boyle,  
Chairman, W. Harold Mitchell and Donald L. Osborne on  
November 17, 1989.

Based upon the findings of fact and conclusions of law, the  
committee hereby Orders that the Complaint filed in this action  
be and hereby is DISMISSED. The costs of this action are taxed  
against the North Carolina State Bar.

This the 17th day of November, 1989, nunc pro tunc.



KAREN PADEN BOYLE

Chairman for the Committee