

WAKE COUNTY
NORTH CAROLINA

BEFORE THE
DISCIPLINARY HEARING COMMISSION
OF THE
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
89 DHC 13

THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR)
Plaintiff)
v.)
KEITH M. STROUD, ATTORNEY)
Defendant)

FINDINGS OF FACT
AND
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This cause was heard by a Hearing Committee of the Disciplinary Hearing Commission consisting of Karen Boyle, Chairman, Samuel Jerome Crow and Samuel Beam on Tuesday, Oct. 17, 1989. The Plaintiff was represented by Carolin Bakewell and the Defendant was represented by James H. Carson, Jr. Based upon the evidence, the prehearing stipulations and the pleadings, the Committee makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT:

1. The Plaintiff, the North Carolina State Bar, is a body duly organized under the laws of North Carolina and is the proper party to bring this proceeding under the authority granted it in Chapter 84 of the General Statutes of North Carolina, and the Rules and Regulations of the North Carolina State Bar promulgated thereunder.

2. The Defendant, Keith M. Stroud (hereafter, Stroud), was admitted to the North Carolina State Bar in 1972, and is, and was at all times referred to herein, an Attorney at Law licensed to practice in North Carolina, subject to the rules, regulations, Rules of Professional Conduct of the North Carolina State Bar and the laws of the State of North Carolina.

3. During all of the periods referred to herein, Stroud was actively engaged in the practice of law in the State of North Carolina and maintained a law office in the City of Charlotte, Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

4. The Plaintiff, North Carolina State Bar, has failed to prove the allegations in its Complaint by clear, cogent and convincing evidence.

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Committee makes the following Conclusions of Law:

1. The Defendant has not violated any provisions of the Code of Professional Responsibility or Rules of Professional Conduct respecting Eura Mae Wynn;

2. The Complaint in this matter should be dismissed and the costs taxed against the Plaintiff, the North Carolina State Bar.

This the 17 day of Oct., 1989.


Karen Boyle, Chairman
For the Committee

WAKE COUNTY
NORTH CAROLINA

BEFORE THE
DISCIPLINARY HEARING COMMISSION
OF THE
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
89 DHC 13

THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR)
Plaintiff)
v.)
KEITH M. STROUD, ATTORNEY)
Defendant)

ORDER

This cause was heard by a Hearing Committee of the Disciplinary Hearing Commission consisting of Karen Boyle, Chairman, Samuel Jerome Crow and Samuel Beam on Tuesday, Oct. 17, 1989.

Based upon the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Committee hereby orders that the Complaint filed in this action be and hereby is DISMISSED. The costs of this action are taxed against the N.C. State Bar.

This the 17th day of October, 1989.

Karen P. Boyle
Karen P. Boyle, Chairman
For the Committee

00332